

Hence, dye **1** absorbs approximately in the same region and with the same intensity as 3,3'-diethyloxadicarbocyanine (DODC) ($\lambda_{\max}^a (\epsilon \cdot 10^{-4}) = 582 \text{ nm}$ (23.8) and $\lambda_{\max}^f (\Phi, \%) = 610 \text{ nm}$ (49) in ethanol [5]). The fluorescence Stokes shift of dye **1** is less than for DODC. The fluorescence quantum yield increases with decreasing polarity of the solvent.

The ^1H NMR spectra were taken on a Varian VXR-300 spectrometer at 300 MHz in deuteriochloroform with TMS as the internal standard. The electronic absorption spectra were taken on a Shimadzu UV-3100 spectrophotometer. The fluorescence spectra were taken on a SOLAR SM 2203 spectrofluorimeter manufactured in Belarus. The fluorescence quantum yield of the dye was measured relative to the fluorescence quantum yield of indodicarbocyanine in ethanol ($\Phi = 28\%$ [6]). The optical density of the solutions at the excitation wavelength did not exceed 0.1. A correction was introduced in determining the quantum yield in chloroform and DMF accounting for the change in the solvent refraction index. The purity of the compounds was monitored by thin-layer chromatography on Silufol UV-254 plates.

(4E)-4-[(3-Methyl-1,3-benzoxazol-3-yl)methylene]-2-[(E)-(3-methyl-1,3-benzoxazol-2(3H)-ylidene)-methyl]-3-oxo-1-cyclobuten-1-olate (1). A mixture of 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-benzoxazolium *p*-toluenesulfonate (3.50 g, 11 mmol), squaric acid (0.57 g, 5 mmol), and diisopropylethylamine (1.42 g, 11 mmol) in a mixture of 1-pentanol (40 ml) and chlorobenzene (20 ml) was heated with the azeotropic distillation of water for 8 h. The solvent was removed in vacuum. The residue was subjected to chromatography on alumina with 7:1 acetonitrile–ethanol as the eluent. The fraction containing the dye was evaporated under reduced pressure and resubjected to chromatography with 9:1 acetonitrile–ethanol as the eluent. After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in chloroform and filtered. Chloroform was removed in vacuum. The dye was crystallized from 2:1 acetonitrile–ethanol. The yield of **1** was 0.111 g (6%); mp 248–250°C. ^1H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm (*J*, Hz): 3.58 (6H, s, NCH₃); 5.36 (2H, s, =CH); 7.08 (2H, dd, $^3J = 8.1$, $^4J = 1.2$, Ar–H); 7.22 (2H, td, $^3J = 8.1$, $^4J = 1.2$, Ar–H); 7.29 (2H, t, $J = 8.1$, Ar–H); 7.55 (2H, d, $J = 8.1$, Ar–H). Found, %: C 70.66; H 4.25; N 7.47. C₂₂H₁₆N₂O₄. Calculated, %: C 70.96; H 4.33; N 7.52.

REFERENCES

1. S. Yagi and H. Nazakumi, *Top. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **14**, 133 (2008).
2. A. I. Tolmachev and A. Ya. Il'chenko, *Zh. Nauchn. Prikl. Fotogr.*, **45**, No. 6, 5 (2000).
3. H. E. Sprenger and W. Ziegenbein, *Angew. Chem.*, **79**, 581 (1967).
4. A. L. Tatarets, I. A. Fedyunyaeva, E. Terpetschnig, and L. D. Patsenker, *Dyes Pigm.*, **64**, No. 2, 125 (2005).
5. V. A. Kuzmin and A. P. Darmanyan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **54**, 159 (1978).
6. A. A. Ishchenko, *The Structure and Spectroluminescent Properties of Polymethine Dyes* [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1994), 232 pp.